Jo	int	Office	of Gas Transporters
----	-----	--------	---------------------

UNC Modification At what stage is this document in the process?						
Cap	NC 0751: oping price increases for Long- m Entry Capacity	01 Modification 02 Workgroup Report 03 Draft Modification Report 04 Final Modification				
Purpose of Modification: To introduce a cap on the maximum price payable for Long-Term Entry Capacity to ensure equitability in the charging treatment of Long-Term Capacity products and facilitate investment in gas supply facilities.						
	 The Proposer recommends that this Modification should be : considered a material change and not subject to <u>self-govern</u> assessed by a <u>Workgroup.</u> 	nance.	Deleted: s Deleted: V	elf-governance Vorkgroup	e	
	This Modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Pa 2021. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation appropriate route.					
0	High Impact: N/A					
	Medium Impact: Shippers entering gas into the National Transmission System (NT gas supply facilities and National Grid NTS	S), investors in				
	Low Impact: N/A					

UNC 0751 Modification Version <u>2</u>.0 <u>18 March</u> 2021

Λ	Deleted: 1
λ	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

	Contents			(?) Any	
	 Summary Governance Why Change? Code Specific Matters Solution Impacts & Other Considerations Relevant Objectives Implementation Legal Text Recommendations 		3 3 4 5 5 6 <u>7</u> 10 10	questions? Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters image: second	Deleted: 6
	Timetable			alex.nield@storeng y.co.uk 07788 242414	
-	The Proposer recommends the following timeta	ble:		Transporter:	
/	Initial consideration by Workgroup	02 February 2021		National Grid NTS	
'	Workgroup Report presented to Panel	15 April 2021		U	
1	Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	16 April 2021		colin.williams@nati onalgrid.com	
ť	Consultation Close-out for representations	07 May 2021			
1	Final Modification Report available for Panel	14 May 2021		01926 655916	
1	Modification Panel decision	20 May 2021		or 07785 451776	
				Systems Provider: Xoserve	
				UKLink@xoserve.c	
				om	
				Other:	
				Nick Wye	
				nick@waterswye.co	
				<u>.uk</u>	Field Code Changed
				07900 055144	

	Deleted: 1
	Deleted: 11
///	Deleted: January
Version 2.0	

UNC 0751 Modification Page 2 of 10

18 March 2021

1 Summary

What

This Modification proposes to introduce a cap on the price paid by holders of Quarterly System Entry Capacity (QSEC) at domestic entry points,

Why

Following the implementation of Modification 0678A - Amendments to Gas Charging Regime (Postage Stamp), on 01 October 2020, the cost of holding QSEC or Annual Capacity is no longer fixed at the price at which the capacity was acquired in the relevant auction, or assignment of capacity via a Planning and Advanced Reservation of Capacity Agreement (PARCA) (hereafter collectively termed Long-Term Capacity). Instead, the payable price is determined by reference to the capacity prices published by National Grid for the Gas Year during which the capacity is held. This is commonly referred to as the application of a "prevailing price methodology".

This significant change in the charging arrangements means that Users are unable to lock-in a price for Long Term Capacity, resulting in Users being exposed to unpredictable and variable future costs. This undermines the attractiveness of booking Long-Term Capacity and imposes unmanageable risks on developers of infrastructure projects who are required to acquire long term NTS delivery rights in order to secure project financing.

The concept of price capping exists in the European Union (EU) with Germany permitting the hand-back of capacity where the price of the underlying capacity holding increases by more than the German Consumer Price Index (CPI). Although the process of handing back capacity is not proposed in this Modification it is notable that an EU gas market recognises the harm caused by unpredictable price inflation and has introduced measures to mitigate against it.

How

The payable price of Long-Term Capacity assigned capacity in the Gas Year in which it is held will be capped at the price at which the capacity was acquired, adjusted by CPI.

CPI Inflation = (Month cap prices published CPI/Month cap auction CPI)

Price cap = CPI Inflation * Cap price at allocation

For the avoidance of doubt, the solution will not apply at Interconnection Points ("IPs") due to restrictions which apply to non-price cap regulatory regimes contained in EU Tariff Code Article 25.

2 Governance

Justification for Authority Direction

This Modification Proposal is recommended to be sent to the Authority for direction as it is likely to have a material effect on commercial activities relating to the shipping, transportation and supply of gas because, if implemented, it is likely to have a material impact on the allocation of charges across NTS network Users.

Requested Next Steps

This Modification should:

UNC 0751 Modification Page 3 of 10

Version 2.0 18 March 2021

ł	Deleted: 1
ł	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

Deleted:

- be considered a material change and not subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

3 Why Change?

Before the changes made to the NTS Charging Arrangements on 01 October 2020, following the implementation of Modification Proposal 0678A, a User was able to fix the price of Long-Term Capacity at the price at which it was assigned. Although, prices remain fixed for QSEC capacity qualifying for existing contract status (capacity acquired before 05 April 2017) all subsequent purchases are subject to floating prices. The price of this capacity is set in accordance with the capacity prices published by National Grid for the Gas Year during which the capacity is held by the User.

It is well understood that the combination of fixed and floating prices has resulted in a two-tier system with existing contracted capacity enjoying significant discounts to other capacity products. This has been exacerbated by the switch from a commodity-based revenue recovery charge, to one based on capacity holdings, as existing capacity is exempt from the application of this charge. More specifically, and in terms of QSEC capacity, there is a stark contrast in the treatment of capacity holdings of the same product depending on the timing of the acquisition.

The purchase of Long-Term Capacity is a fundamental step in infrastructure project development. Both for new gas supply projects (such as Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) re-gas plants, storage facilities, onshore/offshore production fields), or expansion of existing projects, longer-term capacity must be secured to mitigate against system and market access risks, or even to ensure capacity is made available in the NTS via capital investment by National Grid (in the case of incremental capacity above the baseline quantity). The purchase of Long-Term Capacity is a necessary step in securing project investment capital.

Prior to the implementation of Modification Proposal 0678A, Users (and their investors) were able to acquire Long-Term Capacity on a fixed price basis, with additional transportation costs, commodity-based charges, only applied once projects were operational and in receipt of positive revenue streams (through the onward sale of services, or gas sales). Post implementation of Modification Proposal 0678A, the costs of holding Long-Term Capacity cannot be fixed as a result of the application of prevailing prices and the potential for a further capacity-based Revenue Recovery Charge.

There are a number of consequences to this change in the treatment of Long-Term Capacity:

- Infrastructure projects cannot reasonably manage NTS access risk, as mitigation costs are unpredictable and "unbankable". This will suppress the appetite of investors to back infrastructure projects (new or existing) with more marginal projects (such as gas storage facility investment) at greater risk of failing to progress.
- While the dual system of pricing remains between existing and other contracted capacity there is an unfair competitive advantage in favour of Users who possess existing contracted capacity. On the basis that the products which have been acquired are the same, beyond the date on which they happened to be acquired, this appears to be discriminatory. Without some level of price protection for non-existing capacity, the preferential treatment given the existing capacity is extreme resulting in market distortions based purely on the configuration of the NTS charging methodology.
- Due to the potential huge cost burdens associated with holding Long-Term Capacity, Users will be
 driven towards the shorter-term capacity market. This will have the combined effect of increasing the
 unit cost of capacity, as allowed revenue will be spread across a reduced volume and diminishing
 investment signals in the NTS more widely.

UNC 0751 Modification Page 4 of 10

Version 2.0 18 March 2021

ł	Deleted: 1
ł	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

Current arrangements in German market

In other EU markets, such as the German Gas market, specific provisions have been introduced to protect capacity holders against excessive increases in the underlying price of the capacity product. In such cases where prices increase beyond a prescribed level, the capacity holder is permitted to hand-back all or some of its capacity.

The relevant process is defined in the so called "Kooperationsvereinbarung der Netzbetreiber" (cooperation agreement of grid operators) which German Transmission System Operators / Distribution System Operators (TSOs/DSOs) must develop in order to ensure an efficient access to the gas grid

The "Kooperationsvereinbarung" (KoV) is developed by the grid operators and discussed with grid users.

Included in the KoV it is required that network operators offer entry and exit capacities which allow network access without defining a transaction-dependent transport path and which can be used and traded independently of each other (note that this common carriage approach is the same as that operated in the UNC).

They must develop common contractual standards for network access and, taking account of technical restrictions and economic reasonableness, must exploit all possibilities for cooperation with other network operators with the aim of minimising the number of networks or sub-networks and balance areas.

"In case of increased charges, Shipper shall be entitled to terminate the contract in writing in full or in part, depending on the amount of the capacity booking, with a notice period of 10 working days from the effective date of the change. There is no right of termination if the increase in charges of the transmission system operator is less than or equal to the percentage increase of the consumer price index (overall index) for Germany (CPI) published by the Federal Statistical Office. The rate of change of the annual average CPI over the previous year published by the Federal Statistical Office at the time of the announcement of the increase in charges is decisive in this respect."

4 **Code Specific Matters**

Reference Documents

UNC TPD Sections B and Y

EU Tariff Code (Regulation 2017/460)

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32017R0460

UNC Modification Proposal 0678A Ofgem Decision

https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications-and-updates/amendments-gas-transmission-charging-regime-decisionand-final-impact-assessment-unc678abcdefghij

Knowledge/Skills

An understanding of Modification 0678A, UNC TPD Sections B and Y and the EU Tariff Code, Gas would be beneficial.

5 Solution

It is proposed that for all Long-Term Entry Capacity, baseline and incremental, booked at domestic entry points (non-Interconnection Points) after the 05 April 2017, should be subject to a price cap. IPs are excluded from as UNC 0751 Page 5 of 10 Version 2.0 18-March 2021

Deleted: 1 Deleted: 11 Deleted: January

Modification

price capping of charges would be in contravention of EU TAR Articles 24 and 25 which requires that the characteristics of the GB market, in particular that it operates a non-price cap regulatory regime, require it to operate a "floating payable price" method at IP points. The price cap will be established each Gas Year, during which the capacity is held, by reference to the Consumer Price Index (CPI). Were the CPI calculation to result in a price cap lower than the price of the capacity at the time of assignment, then the price will be set at the price at the time of the assignment.

The price cap will be set as follows:

CPI Inflation = (Month cap prices published CPI/Month cap allocation CPI)

Price cap = CPI Inflation * Cap price allocation at allocation

Floor (minimum) price is the capacity price at the time of assignment.

Example:

QSEC allocated in March 2018 at 0.0532 p/kwh/d, with prices published in February 2018 for the period from 01 October 2019 to 30 September 2034. Prices for Gas Year 2020/21 published in June 2020

Since 01 October 2020, the price of capacity is 0.0717 p/kwh/d

Price cap for capacity relating to October 2020 would be calculated as follows:

Feb 2018 CPI = 104.9

October 2020 CPI = 109.1

109.1/104.9 = 1.040038 (to 6 dp)

Price Cap = 1.040038 * 0.0532 = 0.0553 p/kwh/d

In this example the floor price chargeable would have been 0.0532 p/kwh/d, but as the CPI calculation results in a higher, the actual price charged (price cap) would be 0.0553 p/kWh/d for October 2020 capacity.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

The proposals in this Modification do not impact a Significant Code Review or major industry change project.

Consumer Impacts

The total amount of revenue to be collected by National Grid is not changed, therefore the impacts on consumers will be negligible.

Cross Code Impacts

None

EU Code Impacts

EU Tariff Code compliance is considered as part of this Modification proposal and subsequently in the Legal Opinion prepared by WWA and submitted to the workgroup,

Modification

Page 6 of 10

Version 2.0 18, March, 2021

1	Deleted: .
Å	Deleted: 1
J	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January



Central Systems Impacts

There will be impacts on Gemini and UK Link invoicing systems, however we anticipate these to be minimal as the calculations can be performed independently.

7 Relevant Objectives	
Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
 b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters. 	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	Positive
 d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers. 	Positive
 Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers. 	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	None
 g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators. 	Positive

Demonstration of how the Relevant Objectives are furthered:

(c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations

The dual system of existing contracts and "new" long-term bookings has been introduced in order to achieve compliance with Article 35 of the EU Tariff Code. As a result of the price protections afforded to existing contracts, these contracts are held at a significant discount to all subsequent long-term holdings.

This premium is exacerbated by the fact that holders of subsequent long-term bookings are subject to future price variability as the price of capacity is set in accordance with the price notified by National Grid for the Gas Year during which the capacity is held, noting that the products are the same beyond the date on which they were purchased.

By providing a degree of price predictability and certainty through the introduction of a price cap, this Modification proposal creates a more level playing field for holders of existing contracts and those holding or looking to buy new capacity. In doing so it better facilitates Standard Special Condition A6 of National Grid

UNC 0751 Modification Page 7 of 10

Version <u>2</u>.0 <u>18 March</u> 2021

λ	Deleted: 1
Å	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

Gas' (NGG's) gas transporter's licence which requires it "to conduct its transportation business in the manner best calculated to secure that ... no gas shipper or gas supplier ... obtains any unfair commercial advantage including, in particular, any such advantage from a preferential or discriminatory arrangement"

(d) Securing of effective competition

Providing a degree of price protection for new long-term bookings which introduces a degree of equivalence between products which are the same with the exception of the date on which they were purchased. Unlike existing contracts, where prices are fixed, this modification proposal limits price increases to the rate of inflation (measured by CPI), recognising that Article 35 of the EU TAR makes special provisions for contracts entered into before 05 April 2017. Without change, the continuation of an unfettered dual system means that Users who book new Long-Term Capacity are at a competitive disadvantage to those holding existing contracts. This results in not only different NTS access costs for Users, but the added burden of future cost volatility. Both of these outcomes are detrimental to competition.

Finally, price stability and predictability will improve investment conditions. Investors in new or expansions to existing gas delivery facilities will be more inclined to invest capital where future costs are fixed and can be incorporated into risk models. Where new investment is forthcoming, this will result in expanding the sources of gas supplies to the UK market, thereby improving GB security of supply and enhancing competition.

(g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

Article 13 of the EU TAR requires with respect to Tariffs and the methodologies used to calculate them:

- they should be applied in a non-discriminatory manner;
- they should facilitate efficient gas trade and competition, while at the same time avoiding crosssubsidies between network users and providing incentives for investment; and
- they should neither restrict market liquidity nor distort trade across borders of different transmission systems.

In its review of the GB charging arrangements, in accordance with Article 27 of the EU TAR, The European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER) noted that "the dual regime that may arise out of the treatment of Existing Contracts could potentially be considered as discriminatory, since comparable capacities will face different tariff conditions."

Although it is understood that as a result of Article 35 capacity acquired before 5 April 2017 should qualify for some price protection, the result is that Long-Term Capacity acquired after this date is exposed to higher and variable charges. The lack of any form of limitation on price inflation for such capacity results in discriminatory treatment across Users of the same product, with the exception of the date on which it is purchased. This is inconsistent with Article 13 of the EU TAR and aligns with the view expressed by ACER.

It should be noted that the treatment of other capacity products, such as monthly or daily, is not as straightforward as these are essentially different products to those protected by existing contract status.

In terms of the cap removing an exposure to prevailing prices, the application of prevailing prices is not a requirement of the EU TAR.

Impact of the modification on the Relev	ant Charging Methodology Objectives:	
Relevant Objective		Identified impact
a) Save in so far as paragraphs (aa) or (d) apply, that compliance with the charging methodology results in charges which reflect the costs incurred by		None
UNC 0751 Modification	Page 8 of 10	Version <u>2.0</u> <u>18 March</u> 2021

ł	Deleted: 1
ł	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

	the licensee in its transportation business;	
aa)	That, in so far as prices in respect of transportation arrangements are established by auction, either:	None
	(i) no reserve price is applied, or	
	(ii) that reserve price is set at a level -	
	(I) best calculated to promote efficiency and avoid undue preference in the supply of transportation services; and	
	 best calculated to promote competition between gas suppliers and between gas shippers; 	
b)	That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the charging methodology properly takes account of developments in the transportation business;	None
c)	That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers; and	Positive
d)	That the charging methodology reflects any alternative arrangements put in place in accordance with a determination made by the Secretary of State under paragraph 2A(a) of Standard Special Condition A27 (Disposal of Assets).	None
e)	Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	Positive

Demonstration of how the Relevant Charging Objectives are furthered:

(c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers

The proposals limits the increase in costs related to the purchase of Long-Term Capacity to the level of inflation as measured by the CPI. This will ensure that holders of this capacity will make a contribution to National Grid's allowed revenue while not exposing them to unpredictable and unmanageable risks. The risks are exacerbated by the fact that capacity is purchased far in advance of use and given the nature of the product is booked on a flat basis for the duration of product e.g. quarterly capacity is acquired via QSEC auctions held in advance of the holding and must be booked at the same level for each day of the quarter period.

This differentiates long term products from those spanning shorter term timescales. In the latter case the price of capacity is known and can be bought to meet shorter term needs, therefore allowing Users to manage costs.

Competition between shippers is harnessed by ensuring they are able to gain access to the NTS on a more equitable basis and in particular not to disadvantage or discriminate against those Users which are compelled to acquire a particular product to, for example, obtain access to investment capital to underpin project development. Price capping will address the risk imbalance which exists between short and longer term capacity booking. In addition, it will address the market distortion between existing contracts and those holding or intending to buy "new capacity". There is no economic justification for differential pricing between these products where the rights are the same, but the cost of access (price of the products) is significantly different. The two-tier system results in market distortions which unreasonably direct costs onto holders of longer-term capacity. The level of cross-subsidy is most significantly experienced by Long-Term Capacity holders on the basis that they are unable to match bookings with flows.

(e) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.

UNC 0751 Modification Page 9 of 10

Version 2.0 18 March 2021

Å	Deleted: 1
X	Deleted: 11
1	Deleted: January

See comments raised under Relevant Objectives (g). In terms of price capping, the EU TAR permits charges to be levied at different rates for different products. The calculation of reference prices as set out in Article 6 of the EU TAR requires that the same reference price methodology is applied to all entry and exit points. Nothing in this modification detracts from this requirement, instead it proposes that the charge payable for longer term products can be different to that applied for other products. Further the application of alternative multipliers and seasonal factors explicitly allows for different reference prices and reserve prices to be applied across different products.

8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed. However, implementation should be as soon as possible after an Authority direction to do so.

9 Legal Text

Text Commentary

To be provided by Transporters.

Text

To be provided by Transporters

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that Authority Direction should apply.
- Refer this Modification proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.

Deleted: apply

UNC 0751	
Modification	1

Page 10 of 10

Version <u>2</u>.0 <u>18 March</u> 2021

1	Deleted: 1
(Deleted: 11
(Deleted: January