UNC Workgroup 0746 Minutes

Clarificatory change to the AQ amendment process within TPD G2.3 Thursday 22 April 2021

via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Alan Raper (Chair)	(AR)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett (Secretary)	(HB)	Joint Office
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Carl Whitehouse	(CW)	Shell Energy
Chris Hooper	(CH)	E.ON Energy
Claire Manning	(CM)	E.ON Energy
Dan Simons	(DS)	Gemserv
Dave Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
David Addison	(DA)	Xoserve
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	Xoserve
Guv Dosanjh	(GD)	Cadent
Hilary Chapman	(HC)	SGN
Kate Lancaster	(KL)	Xoserve
Kirsty Dudley	(KD)	E.ON Energy
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	Wales & West Utilities
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom Energy
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

Copies of all papers are available at: <u>https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0746/220421</u>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 17 June 2021.

1. Introduction and Status Review

Alan Raper (AR) welcomed all to the Workgroup.

1.1. Approval of Minutes (25 March 2021)

The minutes from the previous meeting were accepted.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

AR advised Workgroup that all material for Workgroup to consider were received within the timeline set.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

Action 0302: CDSP (DA) to assess the cost range of the utilisation of existing tool to manage this process.

Update: Ellie Rogers (ER) confirmed a cost range of between £50,000 and £65,000 has been determined. **Closed**

2. Amended Modification

AR confirmed the modification has not been amended since the last Workgroup discussion and confirmed the purpose of the modification:

Following the implementation of UNC 0736S Clarificatory change to the AQ amendment process within TPD G2.3 this modification applies the change to all relevant transactions which occurred from 1st April 2020.

3. Review of Legal Text

AR invited Andy Clasper (AC), the Legal Text provider, to present a brief walkthrough of the drafted Legal Text provided and asked Workgroup if they think it meets with the intent of the proposal.

Steve Mulinganie (SM) advised of a slight amendment required to Business Rule 2 where this refers only to (c) and not (a)(c).

There were no further comments from Workgroup.

A full walkthrough of the final Legal Text will be completed at the May 2021 Workgroup.

4. Development of Workgroup Report

AR confirmed that the Workgroup Report is due to be presented to the 17 June 2021 UNC Panel meeting. As part of the consideration and development of the Workgroup Report, the following areas were discussed:

Modification Timetable

AR confirmed that following the consultation close-out on 08 July 2021, the Final Modification Report could be presented to the July UNC Panel at short-notice.

Impacts and Other Considerations

AR agreed to add a Workgroup Statement that will explain the effect of not implementing this modification, as well as the impact of implementation, with an explanation of how the additional revenue would be treated. It was agreed it was difficult to accurately predict how the revenue recovery would directly impact customers.

Workgroup agreed that implementation of this modification would not distinguish between any particular party or group of parties.

It was mentioned that the overall revenue recovered would be distributed across the generality of customers.

RP added the retrospective charges to the particular Shipper would generate revenue for the Transporter which would need to be managed across a 2 to 3 year period.

Workgroup agreed there is no IGT impact.

Central Systems

ER agreed to provide comments for the Workgroup Report. The Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) assessment is based on the adaptation of existing functionality to incorporate the requirements of the modification. This could result in costs in the range of £50,000 and £65,000,and could be implemented independently of a major system releases.

Workgroup Impact Assessment

Workgroup mentioned there is an 18-month window for certain Section S1.8 adjustments.

Richard Pomroy (RP) suggested the reasoning for retrospection should be clearly explained in order to promote better understanding of the justification for the Modification. SM advised, that in his view, this aspect is covered in the 'How' section of the Modification.

Guv Dosanjh (GD) suggested the following wording, (or similar), is applied to the Workgroup impact Assessment:

Retrospection of the modification was discussed; the modification is a mirror of the modification it relates to (Modification 0736 - Clarificatory change to the AQ amendment process within TPD G2.3), with the added retrospection, this would be the justification for the application of these rules.

Relevant Objectives

AR confirmed the modification requires a set of standard relevant objectives as well as the charging relevant objectives which have already been provided. SM agreed to provide the standard relevant objectives table. Workgroup agreed that Relevant Objective D, Securing effective competition, was likely to be the most appropriate objective.

New Action 0401: Proposer (SM) to provide the standard relevant objectives table.

Implementation

Solution delivery would be outside of any major release.

5. Next Steps

AR clarified:

- AC will provide a walkthrough of the Legal Text at the next meeting.
- Completion of the Workgroup Report.

6. Any Other Business

None raised.

7. Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Venue	Programme
10:00 Thursday 27 May 2021	Microsoft Teams	Detail planned agenda items.Consider Legal TextComplete Workgroup Report

Action Table (as of 22 April 2021)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0302	25/03/21	2.0	CDSP (DA) to assess the cost range of the utilisation of existing tool to manage this process.	CDSP (DA)	Closed
0401	22/04/21	4.0	Proposer (SM) to provide the standard relevant objectives table	Proposer (SM)	Pending