UNC 0834:

Inclusion of the "Process for the Update and Retention of Shared Site Drawings" as a Subsidiary Document within the Offtake Arrangements Document

Proposer: National Grid NTS Work Group: 9th January 2023

Why change?

- OAD in the UNC sets out rights and obligations between the Transporters
- Request Group 0646R Review of the OAD (conducted between Jan 2019 and Dec 2020) made a number of recommendations for change
- One related to management of changes to 'Shared Site drawings' (which show the physical layout of Shared Site Facilities at Shared Sites)
 - currently managed by individual Transporters outside of any prescribed UNC change governance i.e. no formal change governance in place.
- Request Group 0646 recommended establishment of a new OAD Subsidiary Document setting out a uniform change process for Shared Site drawings

Options

- No change –management of changes to Shared Site drawings in absence of any documented and transparent change process
- Implement recommendation of Request Group 0646R introduce a uniform, robust and transparent change process for Shared Site drawings

Solution

- A new OAD Subsidiary Document "Process for the Update and Retention of Shared Site Drawings" is added to the list of Subsidiary Documents set out in OAD Section N1.2
- The new Subsidiary Document was designed in collaboration with all Operators across a series of workshops
- Supporting amendments to OAD sections B1.6.1.b (iii) and N1.2.1 (h).

Recommended Steps

- The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:
 - Subject to Self-Governance. The changes are insufficient materiality to warrant any other approach
 - Subject to Workgroup assessment to develop the modification for 3 months

Queries From Previous Workgroup

 On presentation of the modification, a number of queries were raised and NGG clarified:

WWU asked: "Where does this legal text state that the site owner is responsible for holding site drawings?"

NGG Responded: "It is stated in OAD B1.6.1"

WWU asked: "Does land and buildings include fencing? If so, this doesn't work for the sites where the DN is selling the fencing to NTS to enable NTS CNI works to take place."

NGG Responded "Fencing is out of scope of this work"

Queries from Workgroup (continued)

WWU asked: "Is a revision to a site drawing an amendment to the Supplemental agreement (which would be the natural assumption) or is there legal text that carves this out?"

NGG Responded: Making changes to Supplemental Agreements on completion of drawings are captured in the Subsidiary document

Cadent asked "Can an initiative be started to look at Hazardous Area Drawings which are currently out of scope"

NGG Responded: In subsequent correspondence with Cadent they observed that there is likely sufficient coverage in the proposed legal text.

Additional Modification Observations

- Shippers will not be impacted by the adoption of this process
- There are no additional impacts on code outside of those explicitly referenced in the modification
- We will be looking to implement the process a.s.a.p.
- The cost of implementation should be zero