

Modification 0825: Copy of email from Ellie Rogers to Steve Mulinganie for action 0101 update:

Hi Steve,

I just wanted to give you the heads up on the action response for Modification 0825 at DWG this week.

At the meeting on 31 January, you asked if we could double check the costs provided in the slide deck under the 0624R analysis as they seemed different to the costs you quoted in your consultation response to Modification 0651.

To confirm, the costs provided in the presentation were the tables for implementation costs (table 1) and enduring costs (table 2). We hadn't actually included table 3 which is the overall costs (so table 1 and 2 costs combined).

Looking at your consultation response for 0651, this included the overall costs which were the combined implementation and enduring costs. I did notice the overall costs table in the consultation response is slightly different to the one published in the 0624R WG Report. The reason for this is because the table in your consultation response doesn't include the Market Trial indicative costs however the 0624R WG Report table does. Ultimately adding 350k to the lower end of option 3 and 4 costs, and 400k to the upper end will result in the same costs in both tables.

I've provided a screenshot of the table from your consultation response below and under this, the equivalent table from the 0624R report. As mentioned, the difference in the highlighted amounts is purely the Market Trials costs (350k-400k).

Hopefully this makes sense but any questions, just let me know.

[@Joint Office](#) – I've included you in this for reference and I will run through this at the WG meeting on Thursday.

Overall Costs (in £'s)					
	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5
Total Implementation Costs (Excluding MT)	2,476,000 - 2,776,000	3,306,000 - 3,656,000	3,930,000 - 4,030,000	3,331,000 - 3,386,000	157,000
Enduring Costs	2,598,000 - 2,648,000	2,552,000 - 3,052,000	1,711,000 - 1,761,000	2,663,000 - 2,713,000	2,266,000
Overall Costs	5,074,000 - 5,424,000	5,858,000 - 6,658,000	5,641,000 - 5,791,000	5,994,000 - 6,099,000	2,423,000

Source: Xoserve report on RAASP implementation options¹

As can be clearly seen from this table, the costs overall are higher for this proposal (Option 4) than delivering the requirements currently set out in the UNC at present i.e. Option 3 the current RAASP solution

A consolidated view of the implementation and enduring costs provided in response to the consultation exercise and contained below in **Table 3**;

Table 3

Overall Costs (in £'s)					
	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5
Total Implementation Costs (*including 12 week indicative MT costs)	2,826,000 - 3,176,000	3,656,000 - 4,056,000	4,280,000 - 4,430,000	3,681,000 - 3,786,000	157,000
Enduring Costs	2,598,000 - 2,648,000	2,552,000 - 3,052,000	1,711,000 - 1,761,000	2,663,000 - 2,713,000	2,266,000
Overall Costs	5,424,000 - 5,824,000	6,208,000 - 7,108,000	5,991,000 - 6,191,000	6,344,000 - 6,499,000	2,423,000

Enduring costs reflect a total of the costs described by respondents. These may be incurred on an annual basis. Please note the detail above is not an indication of the entire industry's costs associated to each solution option, only costs to those organisations that provided a response to the RFI consultation exercise.

Ellie Rogers – Regulation Change Manager
Customer Change | Customer Operations