

Change Proposal

Release 2 Delivery

Mod reference (where applicable): CDSP Reference: XRN4361

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	2.0	10/10/2017	Alison Kane	Submitted to Change Committee
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR	2.0	10/10/2017	Alison Kane	Submitted to Change Committee
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility			
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel			
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel			
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP			
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP			
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP			
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP			
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP			
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP			
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP			
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee			
14	Document Template Version History CDSP				
Appendi	X .				
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A			



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Originator Details						
Submitted By	Christina Francis	Contact Number	0121 623 2669			
	Francis	Email Address	Christina.francis@xoserve.com			
Customer	Alison Cross	Contact Number	0121 623 2198			
Representative	resentative		Alison.Cross@Xoserve.com			
Subject Matter Expert/Network Lead			0121 623 2386			
Expert/Network Leau	Siliui	Email Address	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com			
Customer Class	⊠ Shipper					
	☑ National Grid Transmission					
	⊠ Distribution Network Operator					
	□iGT					

Overview of proposed change

Change Details

As a result of UK Link Programme implementation (Release 1), a number of deferred changes have been identified for delivery in Future Release 2. There are 22 Changes that have been proposed to be included for Release 2 delivery off which 10 will require funding by the DSC Change Budget and the remaining 12 Changes will be funded by the UK Link deferred budget.

EXTERNALLY FUNDED CHANGE REQUESTS:

Refer to Section 2 – "General Service Changes Only" for Service Area Breakdown

Title: DDS/DDU file amendment

Change Proposal No. XRN4288

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP147

Description: A requirement for the following changes to the DN DDS and DDU files:

- 1. Twin stream Meters For DDS and DDU files to only contain the first Asset for a twin stream Meter i.e. suppress any instances where there is a second record for an MPRN.
- 2. Rolling AQ updates For the DDU file to not include any records relating to rolling AQ updates.

Copy of Change Proposal document:





<u>Title: Billing History by all NTS capacity / commodity related charges (from Nexus implementation onwards)</u>

Change Proposal No. XRN4290

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP194

Description: A requirement to create an enduring solution for National Grid to receive the billing history data to support analysis that will be conducted as part of the Phase 4 and Charging Review (Gas). The data will be required to be shared via reports from Nexus Implementation date on an enduring basis (annually and monthly).

Copy of Change Proposal document:



<u>Title: Theft Risk Assessment Service Tip-off Hotline Data Provision – Enduring Solution</u>

Change Proposal No. COR3995

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP222

Description: A requirement for an enduring solution for the Energy Theft Tip-Off Services provider to identify an MPRN for an address where they receive a tip-off/notification of a suspected theft of gas situation. Xoserve would provide a monthly report to the Energy Theft Tip-Off Services provider via a .csv file.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



CP v2 - COR3995 -UKL222 - TRAS Hotline

Title: Provide the DMSP with the AQ in the O13 record on the GCC file

Change Proposal No. XRN4297

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP226

Description: 1. Provide the DMSP with the site AQ value so that they can accurately validate class 1 Readings prior to submission to Xoserve.

- 2. Trigger the GCC file following a 'finalised ratchet' to the DMSP.
- 3. Supply the MNEPOR value for any NTS data logged SMPs

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Reports required under UNC TPD V16.1 in Nexus (reports required by MOD 520A)



Change Proposal No. XRN4299

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP251

Description: A requirement for a number of reports.

DNs are to provide a number of reports both to the industry in general and also to Performance Assurance Committee members.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Quarterly smart Metering reporting for HSE and DNs

Change Proposal No. XRN4248

Xoserve Change Request No. n/a

Description: A requirement to produce a quarterly report as a national report to the HS&E and as a DN level report to each network summarising Meter exchanges and installations.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: New DN role for CMS

Change Proposal No. COR3386

Xoserve Change Request No. n/a

Description: A requirement to create a new role that includes all activities that can be undertaken within DNs to facilitate more efficient working practices.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



<u>Title: Missing Key Data Items from iGTs to Shippers (Plot Number)</u>

Change Proposal No. XRN3477

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP112

Description: A requirement for the provision and amendment of Plot addresses to Shippers.

Copy of Change Proposal document:





Title: PSR Requirements – Vulnerable Customer Data Requirements

Change Proposal No. n/a

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP273

Description: There is a requirement for the following data items to be in place to assist customers in vulnerable situations.

- 1. Aligned vulnerable customer needs codes between gas and electricity
- Mapping of existing vulnerable customer needs code to new vulnerable customer needs code

Copy of Change Proposal document:



<u>Title: New Vulnerable Customer Needs codes (This Change has been added because it has been dropped off Release 1.1 and we are awaiting a decision by OFGEM on whether UKLP273 or UKLP249 will need to be delivered)</u>

Change Proposal No. XRN4097

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP249

Description: Ofgem within their PSR consultation have mandated the following data requirements to be in place to assist customers in vulnerable situations;

- 1. Aligned vulnerable customer needs codes between Gas and electricity
- 2. Mapping of existing vulnerable customer needs code to new vulnerable customer needs code

Copy of Change Proposal document:



<u>Title: Recording of DN Siteworks / New Network Connection Reference in Central Systems</u>

Change Proposal No. COR3283

Xoserve Change Request No. n/a

Description: DNs require Xoserve to be able to receive network connections reference numbers and be able to store these reference numbers in central systems.

Copy of Change Proposal document:





INTERNALLY FUNDED CHANGE REQUESTS:

Title: PAF Indicator field inclusion on VAD and ACR files

Change Proposal No. COR4284

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP122

Description: A requirement to include the PAF Indicator field on VAD and ACR file formats.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: .DUP & .DUS file type registration in AMT

Change Proposal No. COR4287

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP140

Description: A requirement to support the duplicate invoice & supporting information generated from UK Link.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Addition of New fields from CMS being available in BW/IP reporting environment

Change Proposal No. COR4291

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP197

Description: Addition of new fields those are present in CMS to Xoserve's SAP Business Warehouse to enable its use in future reporting services.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Allow for a capacity revision on a Seasonally Large Supply Point

Change Proposal No. COR4295



Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP223

Description: A requirement to support UNC code B4.9.2 - The current design does not allow for a capacity revision for a Seasonal Supply Point.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: NDM Twin Stream Read Validation

Change Proposal No. COR4300

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP256

Description: A requirement to support NDM twin stream and automated Read input validation process.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



<u>Title: Remove 'n' as an allowable value from the .SFN file in 'Fault corrected' field and remove as allowable value from AMT & SAP ISU.</u>

Change Proposal No. COR4303

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP267

Description: A requirement to remove the allowable value 'n' in the file format so that the validation on the file format is corrected.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Amend referral rules for class 2 smaller LSP's

Change Proposal No. COR4304

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP270

Description: A requirement to accept Class 2 Meter Points and to be made a configurable upper value with the ability to increase/decrease if required.

Copy of Change Proposal document:





Title: Back billing for domestic (SSP) sites needs to be reflect the correct adjustment start date

Change Proposal No. COR4309

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP287

Description: A requirement to ensure correct adjustment period is billed for SSP sites as part of the back billing (GSR site visit) process.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



Title: Changes to the upper parameter of the XDO partial refresh file

Change Proposal No. COR2831.5

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP292

Description: A requirement to remove upper limit on number of records in the input file while doing a partial refresh.

Copy of Change Proposal document:



UKLP292 - Changes to

Title: Unique Sites - Adding profiles

Change Proposal No. COR4311

Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP300

Description: A requirement to enable the correct profiles to be added automatically and not manually.

Copy of Change Proposal document:





Title: Meter Point Details Report & Sector Breakdown Report Change Proposal No. COR4316 Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP315 Description: A requirement to develop two reports to validate the amendment invoices: 1. Meter Point Details Report 2. Sector Breakdown Report Copy of Change Proposal document: CP v2 - XRN4316 -UKLP315 - Meter Point Title: Annual shrinkage submission (.ORD) received from Networks is not getting apportioned correctly when the adjustment period is spanning across Nexus Go live date (01-June-2017) Change Proposal No. COR4318 Xoserve Change Request No. UKLP325 Description: A requirement to correct the smearing process for Annual shrinkage in SAP because the current design is considering the variance period as 6 months and this will not work as expected with the Go live date as 01-June-2017. If the error persists it will result in incorrect charges present in the amendment invoice (AMS) and will have financial impacts to Networks revenue. Copy of Change Proposal document: CP v2 - XRN4318 -UKLP325 - Annual shri Reason(s) Some of these changes were deferred from both the delivery into the main UK Link implementation and Future Release 1.1, therefore they have been included in Future Release for proposed 2. service change Status of Only one change included within this Change Proposal relates to a UNC Mod. related Change Proposal No. XRN4299 - Title: Reports required under UNC TPD V16.1 in Nexus **UNC Mod** (reports required by MOD 520A) - MOD Implemented Full title of Only one change included within this Change Proposal relates to a UNC Mod. related **UNC Mod** Change Proposal No. XRN4299 - Title: Reports required under UNC TPD V16.1 in Nexus (reports required by MOD 520A) The change will deliver items of scope from the UK Link Programme which were not identified **Benefits** or not delivered as part of the main UK Link implementation and Future Release 1.1. More of change

specifically, the changes detailed within the Change Proposal will enhance reporting



	requirements and enable required data to be readily available for all parties.
Required Change Implement ation Date	Targeting June 2018 (UNC implementation date, subject to completion of Detailed Design
Please provide an assessme nt of the priority of this change from the perspective of the industry.	 ⊠ High (8 Changes) ☑ Medium (2 Changes) ☑ Low (12 Changes) Rationale for assessment: The priority of the Changes has been reviewed and set by the Change Management Committee.



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation	Services			
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	☐Initial Ass	sessment (Mod related changes only)			
·	□ROM est	imate for Analysis and Delivery			
	CDSP Change Services				
	☐ Firm Quote for Analysis				
	⊠Firm Quo	te for both Analysis and Delivery			
Has any initial assessment	⊠Yes				
been performed in support of this change?	□No				
Is this considered to be a Priority	Service	⊠Yes (Mod Related) (1 Change)			
Change?		□Yes (Legislation Change Related)			
		□No			
Is this change considered to rela 'restricted class' of customers?	te to a	☐Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)			
Consider if the particular change is to impact those who fall under a pacustomer class		⊠No 			
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then		□Shippers			
		□National Grid Transmission			
choose 'No'.		□Distribution Network Operators			
		□iGT's			
Is it anticipated that the change van adverse impact on customers		□Yes (please give details)			
other customer classes?	Of ally	⊠No			
Discounting the supposition of the definition					
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'					
General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)					
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s) For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.					



				Servi	ce Area De	etails			
						Transporters			
Existing	Xoserve						tribution		
Change Proposal Number	Change Request Number	Change Request Title	Service Area Details	Shipper Users	National Grid NTS	DN Operators and Independent Gas Transporters	DN Operators	Independent Gas Transporters	
XRN4288	UKLP147	DDS/DDU file amendment	Service Area 21 - Data flows and services to Network Operators		6%	94%			
XRN4290	UKLP194	Billing History by all NTS capacity / commodity related charges (from Nexus implementation onwards)	Service Area 21 - Data flows and services to Network Operators		6%	94%			
COR3995	UKLP222	Theft Risk Assessment Service Tip-off Hotline Data Provision – Enduring Solution	Service Area 18 - Provision of user reports and information	34%	7%	59%			
XRN4297	UKLP226	Provide the DMSP with the AQ in the O13 record on the GCC file	Service Area 21 - Data flows and services to Network Operators		6%	94%			
XRN4299	UKLP251	Reports required under UNC TPD V16.1 in Nexus (reports required by MOD 520A)	Service Area 18 - Provision of user reports and information	34%	7%	59%			
XRN4248		Quarterly smart Metering reporting for HSE and DNs	Service Area 16 - Provision of supply point information services and other services required to be provided under condition of the GT Licence			100%			
COR3386		New DN role for CMS	Service Area 2 - Provide query management (to be discussed with DNs on 5th Oct 17 to				100%		



			agree 100% DN funding)				
	UKLP112	Missing Key Data Item from iGTs to Shippers (Plot Number)	Service Area 1 - Manage supply point registration	100%			
	UKLP273	PSR requirements - Vulnerable Customer Data Requirements	Service Area 1 - Manage supply point registration	100%			
COR3283		Recording of DN Siteworks / New Network Connection Reference in Central Systems	Service Area 21 - Data flows and services to Network Operators		6%	94%	

B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:

NA

Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

NA

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

NA

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

There are minimal impacts to file formats which will be defined in detail as part of the Detailed Design Phase of the project.

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

There are no expected impacts to the UKL Manual Appendix 5b

Impacts to Gemini System

There are 2 Changes that will have impacts to the Gemini System:

Change ID	Brief Description	Impact Type	Impact Assessment
UKLP325	ORD: Annual shrinkage adjustment split for PNID	UK Link Change that has consequential impact on Gemini	Changes have to be made to develop a process to apportion the monthly smear values for both LDZ rec smear and RBD pot among shippers and create corresponding charge items using the financial smear value correctly when the adjustment period spans across PNID. There will be regression impacts on Gemini because the source of data is from Gemini.



UKLP194	Billing history report for NTS	Change in SAP BW which retrieves data from Gemini hence consequential impact on Gemini	This is a major change that would require at least 20 new batch jobs in Gemini and BW/BODS each to support the new data required for this report. Consolidation layer, BO Universe and reports creation is also required to support this change. There will be Control M changes in Gemini and BW to schedule the new jobs. Due to the magnitude of data being expected to be processed, the infra sizing/storage cost needs to be assessed. Historical migration of data will have to be considered. Assumption: 1. No PO/AMT/EFT changes are required. 2. The principles for selection of Copy tab and OAQ from Gemini will be AS IS and during detail design this will be defined.
---------	---	---	--

Please give any other relevant information.

There is no other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- · a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	
Approved Change Proposal version	
The change proposal shall not proceed	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:	
Updates required:	



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

			Change Proposal Rejection
Х	Yes	No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
		•	All detailed information required is contained within the individual Change Proposal ched within this document.

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

	Notification of EQR Delivery Date
Original EQR delivery date:	11/10/2017
Revised EQR delivery date:	NA
Rationale for revision of delivery date:	NA

I	Recipient	Email
ſ	Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager	Lee Chambers	Contact Number	0121 623 2852
		Email Address	Lee.chambers@xoserve.com
Project Lead	Christina Francis	Contact Number	0121 623 2669
	Trancis	Email Address	Christina.francis@xoserve.com

Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems	The impact of the Service Description has already been specified the details of the Change Proposal (Section 2)
Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.)	The Business Evaluation Report is targeted to be provided in 2 parts:
	 Costs for Detailed Design – 8th November 2017 and Costs for Overall Delivery of Release 2 by 13th December 2017
	This is subject to the Release 2 scope being baselined and Xoserve internal financial governance processes being achieved.
Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation This can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. 'at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx'.	Zero cost as this is being covered as part of the current internal project COR4186.
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes □No (please give detail below)
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes □No (please give detail below)
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Priority Service Change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes □No (please give detail below)
General service changes	



Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	⊠Yes □No (please give detail below)
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	⊠Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	NA
EQR validity period:	[3 months from the issue date – to be discussed & agreed with Emma Smith]

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response w commented upon in the subsequent EC			e change proposa	l and potentially
Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of the impact?	е	□ Yes □No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response w potentially commented upon in the sub	sequ		e Change Proposa	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges				
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP
5.) Implementation Plan
6.) Estimated implementation costs
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)
Please mark % against each customer class:
National Grid Transmission
Distribution Network Operators and IGT's
DN Operator
IGT's
Shippers 100%
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.
Implementation Options
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:
This should include: (i) a description of each Implementation Option;
(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option
(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option



Restricted Class Changes only Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below) No Dependencies: Constraints: Benefits: Impacts: Impacts: Impacts: Impacts Impa
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
outside the relevant customer class(es)? Yes (please give detail below)
□Yes (please give detail below) □No Dependencies: Constraints: Benefits:
Dependencies: Constraints: Benefits:
Dependencies: Constraints: Benefits:
Dependencies: Constraints: Benefits:
Constraints: Benefits:
Constraints: Benefits:
Constraints: Benefits:
Constraints: Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Benefits:
Impacts:
Risks:
Nono.



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of coppe
Out of scope:
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email	
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed			
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date			
Approved BER version			
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse			
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting		Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the BER:			
Updates required:			



Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview		
Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date		
Please detail any differences between the solution that	was implemented and what was defined in the BER.	
Detail the revised text of the CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that has been made	
Were there any revisions to the text of the UK Link Man	ual?	
\square Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link man	nual below)	
□No		
Proposed Commencement Date	Actual Commencement Date	
Please provide an explanation of any variance		
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project		



Service change costs			
Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)	
Reasons for variance b	between approved and actual cos	sts:	

Recipient	Email	
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk	



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved	
Approved CCR version	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting	Date of later meeting:
The committee requires further information	
Further information required:	
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete	
Further action(s) required:	
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct	
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink man	nual required:



Section 14: Document Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the document and the individual sections within.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
0.1	Draft	29/09/17	Alison Kane	Initial draft of document
0.2	Draft	03/10/17	Alison Kane	Updated following initial internal review from Christina Francis / Lee Chambers
0.3	Draft	04/10/17	Alison Kane	Updated following initial internal review from Lee Foster
2.0	Submit to ChMC	10/10/17	Christina Francis	Added UKLP249 to the list

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition	
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular	
	Customer Class if:	
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which	
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;	
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information	
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;	
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the	
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a	
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,	
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority	
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or	
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such	
	Customers.	
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on	
	a uniform basis.	
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change	
Service Change		
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;	
Change	or	
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a	
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of	
Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document		
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).	
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service	
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the	
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that	
	Customer Class	
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant	
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;	
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:	
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and	
	(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in	
	the CDSP Service Description,	
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description	
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or	
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the	
	order of the Customer.	