

UNC Gas Quality Workgroup Minutes
Monday 11 May 2026
Via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Dan Tarasco-Fittock (Chair)	(DTF)	Joint Office
Hristina Gavrailova	(HG)	Joint Office
Ahmed Jama	(AJ)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
David Mitchell	(DM)	Scotia Gas Networks (SGN)
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Greta Mockute	(GM)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett	(HB)	Joint Office
Humza Saleem	(HS)	Ofgem
Joseph Leggett	(JL)	Interconnector
Mariachiara Zennaro	(MZ)	Centrica
Martina Maria Giovannelli	(MMG)	Equinor
Marvin Clare	(MCI)	CDSP
Matthew Smith	(MS)	EDF Energy
Michael Crowley	(MCr)	Gas Networks Ireland
Phil Hobbins	(PH)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Shiv Singh	(SS)	Cadent
Shona Watt	(SW)	NESO
Steve Brown	(SB)	Ofgem
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	SEFE Energy
Tino Timire	(TT)	Joint Office
Xuefei Zheng	(XZ)	RWE

This Workgroup meeting will be considered quorate provided at least two Transporter and two Shipper User representatives are present.

Please note these minutes do not replicate detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/GQW/110526>.

1. Introduction and Status Review

Dan Tarasco-Fittock (DTF) welcomed parties to the meeting and confirmed that the meeting was quorate.

1.1. Approval of Minutes (13 April 2026)

Steve Brown (SB) noted the update provided in Agenda Item 1.4 of the April 2026 Gas Quality Workgroup (GQW) meeting. SB advised that the update was related to a Gas Specification Workshop that the Ofgem held, and not a Biomethane Workgroup, as previously stated. SB confirmed that wording would be provided to the Joint Office, in order to amend the error.

It was decided that the April 2026 GQW meeting minutes would be subject to approval during the June 2026 GQW meeting, as to provide participants with time to review the amendments.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

There were no Late Papers to approve.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

0101: Shippers to provide feedback on any gas quality issues impacting their CCGT sites.

Update: DTF advised that discussions were still ongoing with Julie Cox (JC) and that Energy UK were canvassing views on the matter from their members, and confirmed that a further update would be provided during the June 2026 GQW meeting.

Carried forward.

0102: RWE (LJ) and NGT (AJ) to provide feedback, following bilateral discussion regarding gas quality data.

Update: Xuefei Zheng (XZ) advised that RWE had previously raised concerns regarding the consistency of gas quality. Data had been shared with National Gas Transmission (NGT), which showed that, despite the gas quality being within limits, there had been an increase in the frequency of gas quality issues in the last 3 years. XZ advised that the data could not be shared with the Workgroup, due to its commercial sensitivity, but that RWE were open to providing the data to Ofgem, if required.

DTF thanked RWE and NGT for providing this feedback, and observed that this was in line with the report, provided by NGT, regarding gas quality issues.

Closed.

1.4. Industry Update from Ofgem

Modification	FMR sent to Ofgem	Estimated Decision Date (EDD)
UNC0918: Temporary reintroduction of the enhanced pressure service and increased MNEPOR for BBLC (reintroduced by UNC0891 and UNC0859 and introduced by UNC0814)	15 January 2026	7 May 2026
UNC0894/A: Facilitating Biomethane entry into the GDN by exporting methane from the GDN into the NTS via Compression	18 December 2025	29 May 2026
UNC0907: Extension to current maintenance period	20 February 2026	10 June 2026
UNC0903: The Introduction of a Single NTS Capacity Reference Price	20 October 2025	14 December 2026
UNC0900: Amendment to the Gas Quality NTS Entry Specification at Biomethane System Entry Points	22 April 2025	TBC
UNC915: Debt Relief Scheme Payments (DRSP)s	15 January 2026	TBC

For more information, please refer to the estimated decision dates timetable published at: <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/energy-regulation/how-we-regulate/energy-codes/energy-code-timetable>

SB advised that a third Gas Specification Workshop would be held on 19 May 2026, regarding the widening of the Wobbe index. The Workshop would be attended by the DESNZ, Ofgem, Health and Safety Executive (HSE), and National Grid.

More information would be provided during the June 2026 GQW meeting.

2. Matters of Interest

2.1. Data Transparency

Ahmed Jama (AJ) provided an update on the Gas Quality Data Transparency process.

Previously, the Map Solution had been discussed, in which a user would have the ability to use the map of the British Isles to locate offtakes. However, Critical National Infrastructure (CNI) issues had been raised, and it had been concluded that directions would be needed from DESNZ regarding this approach.

AJ noted that two more options had been prepared by NGT. The first option was for a postcode look-up to be provided to users, instead of a map of offtake locations. AJ highlighted that there would still be design details to be confirmed, for instance, whether flow patterns would be available, or only offtake sites. However, this option would avoid publishing sensitive data.

The second option was for NGT to provide direct stakeholder engagement, with users who wanted more information having bilateral discussions with NGT. AJ noted that meaningful information would be provided to the industry, as NGT would be able to outline available flows and routes, along with offtake sites information. AJ further observed that this option would be most appropriate, if a small percentage of the industry were interested in this information.

SM noted that, previously, it had been agreed that NGT would discuss the Map Solution with DESNZ, and queried whether this had been done. SM further highlighted that visibility of the data was of utmost importance and that bilateral meetings between parties and NGT would not aid transparency.

AJ advised that the two additional options had been prepared, in the event that DESNZ deemed the first option unacceptable. AJ further noted that, while the benefits of the Map Solution were clear, NGT was mindful of not utilising vast resources for a small audience. SM observed that the transparency of information would aid development in the industry. It was further highlighted that the data provided would be useful, and should be open to industry participants, unless there was a reason for not sharing it.

AJ confirmed that DESNZ had been contacted, and a follow-up meeting had been requested.

Ben Hanley (BH) noted that a further concern would be the misinterpretation of data, as parties were not always fed by the closest offtake point, and the flow varied on a day-to-day basis. BH observed that bilateral discussions with NGT would help parties understand the data provided. SM agreed with this point, but noted that the Map Solution would provide opportunities for parties to contact NGT as well, and further discuss the information provided.

DTF noted that the data might be needed to facilitate other processes and innovation, and highlighted that the increased transparency had been received favourably by the GQW. SM suggested that appropriate caveats be included in the Map Solution, and follow-up conversations with NGT be proposed, as to ensure the proper interpretation of the data.

Phil Hobbins (PH) advised that the Map Solution had been proposed for Transmission-connected shareholders, rather than DN-connected parties. As there were relatively few such shareholders, NGT had proposed the other two options as a proportionate way to deliver the information. DTF observed that the Workgroup had expressed a desire for the information to be made more visible, and noted that the data could prove useful to the industry in various capacities.

AJ advised that more information would be provided regarding DESNZ's decision in the June 2026 GQW meeting.

For more information, please see the [slides](#) published in the April 2026 GQW meeting.

3. Pre-Modification Discussions – New Gas Quality Proposals

None.

4. New Issues / Issues Register Update

DTF confirmed that the Issues Register would be updated, following the current meeting. The Workgroup raised no new issues.

5. Any Other Business

5.1. UNC Elections Timeline

Helen Bennett (HB) advised that the UNC User Appointment Process was currently underway. The Single Point of Contact (SPoC) Registration Window would be open between 07 May 2026 and 29 May 2026. If a company did not have a [SPoC](#), HB urged participants to contact the Joint Office, which could assist further.

The Nomination Window for Modification Panel/UNCC and Sub-Committee representatives would be open between 08 June 2026 and 26 June 2026. HB confirmed that any representatives currently sitting on a committee would be automatically renominated, unless they chose to withdraw from participation. If required, elections would be held between 20 July 2026 and 07 August 2026, with the results published by 01 September 2026.

For more information, please see the published [slides](#).

5.2. Modification Prioritisation

DTF advised the Workgroup that Ofgem had confirmed its decision to exercise powers under the Energy Act 2023 to implement changes to the industry Modification Process. These changes would introduce Modification Prioritisation and were due to take effect from 29 May 2026.

Further information was available at the following links:

- **Ofgem statutory consultation:** <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/consultation/establishing-harmonised-prioritisation-process-industry-codes-statutory-consultation>
- **Ofgem Guidance for Code Parties:** <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/guidance/code-modification-prioritisation>
- **Stakeholder FAQs:** <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/CodeReform>

As part of the new prioritisation process, all current live Modifications would need to be assessed and assigned a Priority Category, with the UNC Modification Panel required to agree the categorisation. The Joint Office would therefore be seeking the Panel’s agreement on the priority categories for all live Modifications, as well as for any new Modifications proposed going forward.

DTF urged Modification Proposers to contact the Joint Office, which could assist further with the Modification Prioritisation process.

6. Diary Planning

Gas Quality Workgroup meetings are listed at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/GQW/2025>

All other Joint Office events are available via: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
10:00 Monday 08 June 2026	5pm Friday 29 May 2026	Microsoft Teams	Standard Workgroup Agenda

7. Workgroups

7.1. 0928S - Enabling Amendment of Hydrogen Sulphide Limits in Network Entry Agreements

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0928>

7.2. 0929R - Consideration of an Independent Gas Quality Expert under the Uniform Network Code (UNC)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0929>

Gas Quality Workgroup Actions						
Action Ref	Meeting Date	Min Ref	Action	Owner	Reporting Month	Status Update
0101	12/01/2026	1.3	Shippers to provide feedback on any gas quality issues impacting their CCGT sites.	Shippers	June 2026	Carried Forward
0102	12/01/2026	1.3	RWE (LJ) and NGT (AJ) to provide feedback, following bilateral discussion regarding gas quality data.	RWE (LJ) NGT (AJ)	May 2026	Closed

UNC Workgroup 0928S

Enabling Amendment of Hydrogen Sulphide Limits in Network Entry Agreements

Monday 11 May 2026

via Teams: 0207 660 8338, Conference ID: 711 157 69#

Attendees		
Dan Tarasco-Fittock (Chair)	(DTF)	Joint Office
Hristina Gavrailova (Secretary)	(HG)	Joint Office
Ahmed Jama	(AJ)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
David Mitchell	(DM)	Scotia Gas Networks (SGN)
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Greta Mockute	(GM)	Joint Office
Humza Saleem	(HS)	Ofgem
Joseph Leggett	(JL)	Interconnector
Martina Maria Giovannelli	(MMG)	Equinor
Marvin Clare	(MCI)	CDSP
Matthew Smith	(MS)	EDF Energy
Michael Crowley	(MCr)	Gas Networks Ireland
Phil Hobbins	(PH)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Shiv Singh	(SS)	Cadent
Shona Watt	(SW)	NESO
Steve Brown	(SB)	Ofgem
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	SEFE Energy
Tino Timire	(TT)	Joint Office
Xuefei Zheng	(XZ)	RWE

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 20 August 2026.

This Workgroup meeting will be considered quorate provided at least two Transporter and two Shipper User representatives are present.

Please note these minutes do not replicate detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/GQW/110526>.

1. Introduction and Status Review

Dan Tarrasco-Fittock (DTF) welcomed all parties to the meeting and confirmed that the meeting was quorate.

1.1 Approval of Minutes (13 April 2026)

The minutes from the previous meeting were approved.

1.2 Approval of Late Papers

There were no Late Papers to approve.

1.3 Review of Outstanding Actions

0401: NGT (AJ) to confirm whether updating Network conditions would impact other gas quality factors.

Update: Ahmed Jama (AJ) advised that National Gas Transmission (NGT) had carried out a review, and had determined that the only other GS(M)R parameter where a conversion between mg/m³ and ppm was relevant was Total Sulphur. There was no desire from operators upstream of the NTS to harmonise this parameter, and NGT did not propose any further action.

DTF queried whether the Modification would have any impact on Total Sulphur or the industry. AJ confirmed that it would not.

For more information, please see the published [documents](#).

Closed.

0402: NGT (AJ) to provide a response to the Panel Question and initial representation.

Update: Please see Agenda Items 2.1 and 2.2 for more information.

Closed.

2. Modification Assessment

2.1. Review of Response to Initial Representation

AJ presented NGT's response to OVO's initial representation.

AJ advised that it was unclear why the reference temperature of 0 degrees C had been used in the conversion from 5mg/m³, instead of the 15 degrees C, required by the GS(M)R.

Regarding the cumulative effects of the additional hydrogen sulphide entering the system, AJ advised that Delivery Facility Operators (DFOs) had confirmed that operational practices would not change as a result of the Modification. Furthermore, data showed that the average H₂S injection levels across NTS entry points were materially lower than 3.3 ppm, despite the fact that the GS(M)R permitted up to 5 mg/m³. AJ highlighted that the issue the Modification addressed was a legacy contractual wording, and not a change in regulatory limits or system operation.

AJ further noted that the impact on consumers would not be substantial, as there were no changes to the existing GS(M)R limit and there was no expectation of an increase in H₂S levels in the NTS.

AJ addressed the concerns raised regarding competition and commercial activities. It was noted that the NGT did not see a disparity between Entry and Exit, as the Network Entry Agreements were bilateral agreements between NGT and DFOs. Furthermore, Network Exit Agreements between NGT and offtakes directly connected to the NTS, did not contain specific gas quality parameters. AJ observed that the obligation to deliver GS(M)R compliant gas to such offtakes was on NGT. AJ highlighted that Modification 0928S did not change these arrangements and did not create any new risk that NGT would be unable to meet its obligations at Exit.

Steve Mulinganie (SM) queried whether the response would be incorporated in the Workgroup Report. DTF confirmed that this was the case.

The Workgroup had no questions or concerns regarding NGT's response.

For more information, please see the published [documents](#).

2.2. Review of Response to Panel Questions

AJ presented NGT's response to the Panel question "Given the toxicity of this gas, is there an option to level all NEAs down rather than level them up?".

AJ highlighted that the Modification would not increase toxicity risk or change regulatory limits, but that it would remove an anomalous, legacy contractual restriction, ensuring equal treatment at Entry.

The Workgroup had no questions or concerns regarding NGT's response to the Panel Question.

For more information, please see the published [documents](#).

2.3 Changes to the Modification

AJ presented the changes made to the Modification, noting that Section 5, *Solution*, had been clarified. AJ noted that the Modification would permit Network Entry Agreements (NEAs) to express the H₂S limit as 5 mg/m³, in line with GS(M)R and the majority of existing NEAs.

DTF observed that there was no Legal Text for this Modification, as it would only amend NEAs, and suggested that the Workgroup Report be drafted prior to the June 2026 Workgroup meeting.

SM noted that, during previous meetings, an explanation had been provided on the difference between mass and volume. SM provided the below summary and queried whether examples of this could be included in the Workgroup Report.

A key point to note was:

"This highlights one of the key difficulties with using volume-based units instead of mass-based units. A gas measured at 1 Nm³ and 1 Sm³ will produce different numerical results because the reference conditions change the measured volume.

However, the mass concentration itself does not change — it remains 5 mg/m³.

There should be no perceived increase in export H₂S concentrations; it's simply a change in base conditions that allows conversion between mass and volume units. Including a worked example or calculation in the proposal would probably resolve much of this debate."

"We've had similar conversations with other partners who work with different base units. The underlying mass is conserved, so mass production remains constant, but the apparent volume varies depending on the unit basis used.

Action 0501: NGT (AJ) to provide examples, which outline the differences between mass and volume.

For more information, please see the published [documents](#).

3. Next Steps

The following next steps were confirmed:

- Joint Office to draft the Workgroup Report and provide this to participants, ahead of the June 2026 Workgroup meeting.
- Workgroup to review Workgroup Report in June 2026.

- Modification to be presented to

Panel.

4. Other Business

None.

1.0 Diary Planning

All other Joint Office events are available via: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
10:00 Monday 08 June 2025	5pm Friday 29 May 2026	Microsoft Teams	Standard Workgroup Agenda

0928S Workgroup Action Table						
Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Reporting Month	Owner	Status Update
0401	13/04/26	1.0	NGT (AJ) to confirm whether updating Network conditions would impact other gas quality factors.	April 2026	NGT (AJ)	Closed
0402	13/04/26	1.0	NGT (AJ) to provide a response to the Panel Question and initial representation.	April 2026	NGT (AJ)	Closed
0501	11/05/26	2.3	NGT (AJ) to provide examples, which outline the differences between mass and volume.	June 2026	NGT (AJ)	Pending

UNC Workgroup 0929R

**Consideration of an Independent Gas Quality Expert under the
Uniform Network Code (UNC)**

Monday 11 May 2026

via Teams: 0207 660 8338, Conference ID: 711 157 69#

Attendees		
Dan Tarasco-Fittock (Chair)	(DTF)	Joint Office
Hristina Gavrailova (Secretary)	(HG)	Joint Office
Ahmed Jama	(AJ)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
David Mitchell	(DM)	Scotia Gas Networks (SGN)
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Greta Mockute	(GM)	Joint Office
Humza Saleem	(HS)	Ofgem
Joseph Leggett	(JL)	Interconnector
Martina Maria Giovannelli	(MMG)	Equinor
Marvin Clare	(MCI)	CDSP
Matthew Smith	(MS)	EDF Energy
Michael Crowley	(MCr)	Gas Networks Ireland
Phil Hobbins	(PH)	National Gas Transmission (NGT)
Shiv Singh	(SS)	Cadent
Shona Watt	(SW)	NESO
Steve Brown	(SB)	Ofgem
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	SEFE Energy
Tino Timire	(TT)	Joint Office
Xuefei Zheng	(XZ)	RWE

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 17 September 2026.

This Workgroup meeting will be considered quorate provided at least two Transporter and two Shipper User representatives are present.

Please note these minutes do not replicate detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/GQW/110526>.

1. Introduction and Status Review

Dan Tarasco-Fittock (DTF) welcomed all parties to the meeting and confirmed that the meeting was quorate.

1.1 Approval of Minutes (13 April 2026)

The minutes from the previous meeting were approved.

1.2 Approval of Late Papers

One Late Paper, *IGQE Panel Question Responses*, for Agenda Item 2.0 was approved.

1.3 Review of Outstanding Actions

None.

2. Review Panel Questions Responses

2.1. Consider the impact of Code Management implementation on the proposal

DTF presented the answer to the Panel question, noting that it had been drafted, following Workgroup discussions.

Although the implementation of Code Management may impact the contractual arrangements relating to the Independent Gas Quality Expert (IGQE), the Workgroup unanimously agreed that the implementation of an IGQE is not contingent on the transition to Code Management and should not be delayed as a result of this as there is a clear requirement and appetite for an IGQE. If implemented prior to Code Management, the IGQE contractual arrangements transition can be considered alongside other contractual arrangements such as with service providers and the AUGE.

Ellie Rogers (ER) suggested that more information should be provided about what might happen if implementation occurred concurrently or before Code Management.

Action 0501: CDSP (ER) to provide feedback on Contractual Management Consideration, if the IGQE was to be implemented concurrently with Code Management.

2.2. Consider a concept of a core set of services with the option of value-added services, in a similar manner to the AUGE arrangement

DTF presented the answer to the Panel question, noting that it had been drafted, following Workgroup discussions.

The Workgroup agreed that the current AUGE arrangements of a core service supported by value-add activities would form a fitting model for the IGQE. On this basis, it was agreed that the IGQE core services should be:

- *Attendance at relevant Gas Quality meetings*
- *Wider stakeholder network development*
- *Stakeholder engagement*
- *Input into the UNC Modification Process where appropriate*

Additional value-add activities could then be reviewed by an appropriate committee, with a recommendation and subsequent approval being undertaken by the UNC Committee, mirroring the role of the AUG Subcommittee for the AUGE process.

Phil Hobbs (PH) queried the wider stakeholder engagement, provided by the Independent Gas Quality Expert (IGQE), and sought to clarify what the scope of the role would be. Steve Mulinganie (SM) advised that the Terms of Reference (ToR) would further clarify the role of the IGQE, but noted that the industry would be able to engage with the IGQE, which would be part of the stakeholder engagement proposed. SM highlighted that the scope of the role was intended to be quite narrow. DTF further noted that certain non-UNC parties could also be consulted by the IGQE, which could constitute wider stakeholder network development.

SM confirmed that the Modification could be amended, as it was raised on behalf of the industry.

Shona Watt (SW) suggested that the Panel question might be asking whether a core set of activities, with value-added ones where appropriate, was the approach that the Workgroup would like to take. SW further suggested that the Panel did not require the Review Workgroup to outline the specific set of value-added activities.

SW noted the role of the Allocation of Unidentified Gas Expert (AUGE), and queried what their value-added activities were. SM advised that value-added proposals had been presented to the Allocation of Unidentified Gas (AUG) Sub-Committee recently, and suggested that examples of these could be presented to the Workgroup during the June 2026 Workgroup meeting. The Workgroup agreed that this would prove to be useful.

Action 0502: Joint Office (DTF) to provide examples of AUGE value-added activities.

The responses to the Panel question were amended, following the discussion.

3. Review of the Independent Gas Quality Expert Engagement Principles

Link between gas quality, CCGT output and electricity security of supply

DTF queried whether any addition to the engagement principles could address any potential further issues with gas quality, Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) output and electricity security of supply.

SM observed that this might be achieved with wider stakeholder engagement, as gas quality was a cross-system issue. SM further noted the importance of considering the link between the gas and power system, and noted that the IGQE should be able to provide an independent view across both systems.

DTF suggested that this could be included in the Workgroup's recommendation for an IGQE, and include that any party looking to adopt the role of the IGQE would require experience and knowledge of the electricity security of supply. SM noted that understanding of the topic should be sufficient, as the National Energy System Operator (NESO) would be able to provide guidance to the IGQE. SM further observed that the Modification which arose from the Review Workgroup could go into further detail regarding the qualifications required.

PH queried at what point an expression of interest would be sent to the industry, in order to seek out individuals who had the required skill set and were willing to deploy it. SM noted that, previously with AUGE, the review group had not sought out an expression of interest. ER confirmed that for [Modification 0843 - Establishing the Independent Shrinkage Charge and the Independent Shrinkage Expert](#), no early expression of interest had been made. Furthermore, ER highlighted that for this to be done, clear requirements of the role must first be set out.

SW queried whether the operational scope included information regarding the expertise required of the IGQE, which could form the expression of interest. SM advised that it did not, but expressed concern about discussing these details so early in the Request Workgroup process before a formal Modification is raised. SW agreed that, currently, there was an appropriate level of detail provided.

SM noted that this Review Group and any subsequent Modifications would signal to the industry that there was an interest in such a position. DTF confirmed that an Issues Register would be included in the Workgroup Report to reflect the possibility of the industry being unable to fulfil the role of IGQE.

Operational Scope of the IGQE

SW noted the suggestion that IGQE would work with NESO to plan out the future of the gas network, and advised that the wording might need to be amended, in order to take into account potential licence changes.

Principles of Engagement with the IGQE

DTF presented the principles of engagement with the IGQE.

SM observed that the information provided might be too detailed. SM further advised that the IGQE would be an independent expert and a trusted advisor, with industry parties able to utilise their knowledge and provide them with confidential information, which they would not make

available in a way that identified parties. SM noted that this outline should be clear enough to establish the further development of the principles.

SW noted that the practicalities and the speed of the implementation might vary depending on the scope of the principles of engagement. SW further suggested that more granularity be provided regarding the Day 1 provider, and that principles for value-added activities be drafted later. SM agreed with this point, while DTF observed that the scope might be shaped by the industry.

Timeline of the Review Workgroup

DTF noted that during the June 2026 Workgroup meeting, the Workgroup could review examples of value-added activities of AUGÉ, as well as discuss the procurement of an IGQE in more detail.

ER noted that, usually, 12 to 18 months would be the principal timeline for procurement. However, for anything more detailed, the requirements for the IGQE would need to be established. SM observed that a high-level analysis should be sufficient at this point in the process.

Action 0503: CDSP (ER) to provide a high-level analysis of the procurement process.

PH queried what the funding of the IGQE would be. SM noted that the Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) would provide more information regarding the cost of the Modification, once raised. PH observed that the ROM would not provide information on who the funding party would be. ER agreed with this point, and highlighted that it would be up to the industry to decide.

DTF noted that this could be discussed further during the July 2026 Workgroup meeting.

Action 0504: Workgroup Participants (All) to consider the enduring funding mechanism of the IGQE.

4. Next Steps

The following next steps were confirmed:

- Joint Office to amend responses to Panel questions, following the discussion.
- Issues Log to be updated.
- Workgroup to review examples of value-added activities of AUGÉ.
- Workgroup to discuss procurement of IGQE.

5. Any Other Business

None.

6. Diary Planning

All other Joint Office events are available via: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
10:00 Monday 08 June 2025	5pm Friday 29 May 2026	Microsoft Teams	Standard Workgroup Agenda

0929R Workgroup Action Table

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Min Ref	Action	Owner	Reporting Month	Status Update
0501	12/05/2026	2.1	CDSP (ER) to provide feedback on Contractual Management Consideration, if the IGQE was to be implemented concurrently with Code Management.	CDSP (ER)	June 2026	Pending
0502	12/05/2026	2.2	Joint Office (DTF) to provide examples of AUGE value-added activities.	Joint Office (DTF)	June 2026	Pending
0503	12/05/2026	3	CDSP (ER) to provide a high-level analysis of the procurement process.	CDSP (ER)	June 2026	Pending
0504	12/05/2026	3	Workgroup Participants (All) to consider the enduring funding mechanism of the IGQE.	Workgroup Participants (All)	July 2026	Pending